

USPTO Serial No.: 10/767,384  
Fatemizadeh et al.  
Response to Office Action mailed August 24, 2005

REMARKS

Applicants acknowledge the allowance of claims 1-6.

The Office Action rejects claim 7 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ohshima. Applicants have cancelled claim 7 which renders the rejection moot.

The Office Action rejects claim 17 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ohshima. Applicants have cancelled claim 17 which renders the rejection moot.

Applicant(s) have amended claims 8 and 18 as per the Examiner's helpful suggestion to include the limitations of the original base claim. Applicant(s) have further cancelled claim 14 and amended claim 15 to include the limitations of the original base claim. Claims 9-13, 15-16, and 19 are believed to be in condition for allowance as each is dependent from an allowable base claim.


Applicant(s) believe that all information and requirements for the application have been provided to the USPTO. If there are matters that can be discussed by telephone to further the prosecution of the Application, Applicant(s) invite the Examiner to call the undersigned attorney at the Examiner's convenience.

USPTO Serial No.: 10/767,384  
Fatemizadeh et al.  
Response to Office Action mailed August 24, 2005

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees  
due with this Response to U.S. PTO Account No. 17-0055.

Respectfully submitted,  
**QUARLES & BRADY STREICH LANG LLP**

November 21, 2005

By:   
Robert D. Atkins  
Reg. No. 34,288

**Address all correspondence to:**

Robert D. Atkins  
Quarles & Brady Streich Lang LLP  
One Renaissance Square  
Two North Central Avenue  
Phoenix, AZ 85004  
Telephone: (602) 229-5311  
Facsimile: (602) 229-5690  
Email: rda@quarles.com